

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CO	RPORATION COMMISSION
2 3	Commissioner	oration Commission
4	BRENDA BURNS	1 9 2014
5	Commissioner SUSAN BITTER SMITH	D BY
6 7	Commissioner	INK
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) OF SULPHUR SPRINGS VALLEY	DOCKET NO. E-01575A-13-0296
9	ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE	DECISION NO
10	OF ITS PROPERTY FOR RATEMAKING { PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND }	ORDER
11 12	REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN) THEREON, TO APPROVE RATES	
13	DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, AND FOR RELATED APPROVALS.	
14	Open Meeting	
15	March 11 and March 12, 2014 Phoenix, Arizona	
16		
17	BY THE COMMISSION:	
18	Having considered the entire record herein	and being fully advised in the premises
19	Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes and orders that:

s, the

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FINDINGS OF FACT

- In Decision No. 73649, dated February 6, 2013, the Commission adopted a new 1. section in the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-107, establishing an alternative streamlined ratemaking application and process for nonprofit cooperatives providing electric or natural gas utility service ("Rule 107"). This decision also amended the existing rule establishing the filing and processing requirements for a public service corporation rate application ("Rule 103").
- 2. On July 26, 2013, Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("SSVEC" or "the Cooperative") began the process of a rate application under Rule 107. SSVEC met with the

Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") to formally submit a Request for Pre-Filing Eligibility Review in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-107(C).

- 3. On September 4, 2013, SSVEC met with Staff in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-107(C)(3) to review eligibility in filing under Rule 107, finalize the form of customer notice and discuss a proposed form of recommended order.
- 4. On September 4, 2013, SSVEC filed a Request for Docket Number and Notice of Filing Proposed Form of Customer Notice. A Docket Number was assigned opening this rate application docket.
- 5. On September 25, 2013, SSVEC filed a certification of mailing for the Customer Notice. The Customer Notice was mailed via first class mail to all SSVEC customers over two mailing days: Saturday, September 7, 2013 and Monday, September 9, 2013. The customer notice set a deadline of October 9, 2013 for customers of SSVEC to file intervention requests and/or objections to the rate application that SSVEC anticipated filing on September 27, 2013.
- 6. On September 30, 2013, SSVEC filed its application for a rate increase ("the Application") under Rule 107 in Docket No. E-01575A-13-0296.
- 7. By the close of business on October 9, 2013, the Commission had received 12 objections to the rate increase, below the 1,000 required to make SSVEC ineligible for the Rule 107 process. There were no intervention requests filed.
- 8. On October 23, 2013, Staff filed a letter of eligibility in the docket indicating that SSVEC had met all of the requirements outlined in Rule 107.
- 9. On October 23, 2013, Staff filed a letter of sufficiency indicating the data provided by SSVEC in the Application were sufficient in meeting the requirements of a cooperative rate application.

DESCRIPTION OF SSVEC

- 10. SSVEC is a member-owned Arizona non-profit cooperative with its principal business office in Willcox, Arizona. SSVEC is a public service corporation providing electric distribution service to approximately 51,000 customers in parts of Cochise, Santa Cruz, Pima and Graham counties.
 - 11. SSVEC is a Class A Utility under A.A.C. R14-2-103(A)(3)(q).
- 12. SSVEC has a 12-member Board of Directors ("Board") elected to oversee all aspects of the Cooperative's operations and approves the annual operating budget. The Board approved the filing of the Application at a special meeting of the Board on June 19, 2013.
- 13. SSVEC's last rate case was filed on June 30, 2008 and approved in Decision No. 71274 on September 8, 2009. The current rates went into effect September 1, 2009.

SSVEC PROPOSALS

- 14. In the Application, SSVEC utilized a test year ending December 31, 2012.
- 15. Also in the Application, SSVEC requested to increase its annual gross revenue requirement by \$4,886,307, from adjusted test year total revenues of \$108,713,261 to \$113,599,568. This increase represents an increase of 4.49% over adjusted test year total revenue (the increase is an increase of 4.92% over adjusted test year base revenue).
- 16. In its filing, SSVEC stated the rate application would result in Operating Income of \$6,747,430 and Net Income of \$9,867,587.
- 17. SSVEC stated the rate increase is necessary to recover increased operating costs in the categories of operation and maintenance, consumer accounts, customer service and information, sales, administrative and general, depreciation and amortization, taxes, interest and payroll. The rate increase would allow SSVEC to maintain the financial integrity of the Cooperative.
- 18. As attachments to the Application, SSVEC submitted audited financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2012, and a copy of its certified annual financial and statistical report to the National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation ("CFC") for calendar year 2012.

COOPERATIVE ELIGIBILITY

19. For a cooperative to utilize the streamlined rate case process referred to as Rule 107, several eligibility requirements must be met prior to beginning the process. As documented in the letter of eligibility, Staff agrees that SSVEC has taken the necessary steps to comply with

the eligibility requirements of Rule 107.

STAFF ANALYSIS

- 20. As part of its review of the Application, Staff reviewed the purchased power costs, the fuel bank balance, the base revenue increase and test year data, the level of increase requested for each rate schedule/class, the applicability of the capital projects and Plant In Service ("PIS"), the acceptability of system losses and reliability indices, the proposed rate base, revenue, expenses and the proposed revenue requirement, and also completed a compliance review.
- 21. SSVEC and Staff, the only parties to this case, are in agreement on all issues in this case.

Purchased Power Costs

- 22. SSVEC reported purchased power costs for the test year equal to \$67,075,507 with one adjustment to purchased power costs equal to \$256,212. This adjustment was attributed to the methodology used to record net metering costs and revenue each month. In the test year, SSVEC recorded revenue from net metering customers based on all energy delivered to net metered customers and booked as a cost of power (at the full retail rate) all energy received from net metered customers. In 2013, this methodology of showing all revenue and cost transactions was replaced with a recording of just the net transaction. As a result, SSVEC incorporated an adjustment to the purchased power costs for the test year and also made a corresponding revenue adjustment.
- 23. SSVEC and Staff agree on the adjusted purchased power costs filed in the Application.
- 24. SSVEC did not calculate a new base cost of power in the Application. Rule 107 specifies that the increase request of a maximum of 6% is in base revenue not attributed to revenue from an adjustor mechanism. In the Application, revenue attributed to the Wholesale Power Cost

Decision No. 74381

Adjustor ("WPCA") was treated as revenue from an adjustor. As a result, the revenue from the WPCA was held constant from the test year and the base cost of power established in Decision No. 71274 of \$0.072127 per kWh remained unchanged for the purpose of calculating the WPCA adjustor. The WPCA is designed to recover or refund the difference between the base cost of power included in the Cooperative's base rates and the actual cost of power.

25. SSVEC and Staff agree on the definition of base revenue and agree the base cost of power should remain unchanged from that established in Decision No. 71274.

Rate Design

- 26. SSVEC's proposed increase is below the maximum increase of 6% permitted under Rule 107. Also in accordance with Rule 107, monthly customer charge increases for the residential rate class are less than 25% and there are no changes requested to the percentage relationship of the rate blocks. SSVEC did not propose any rate structure change or non-price tariff change.
- 27. SSVEC and Staff agree on the rates set forth in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Staff Engineering

- 28. Staff physically inspected SSVEC's distribution facilities on October 10, 2013. Staff evaluated the Cooperative from an engineering perspective based on key metrics, an analysis of construction expenditures included in PIS and a facilities inspection.
 - 29. Based on its analysis, Staff concluded that SSVEC:
 - has, since its last rate case, developed its system with a focus on improving reliability, including wood pole replacements and expanding its supervisory control and data acquisition system;
 - 2. has an acceptable level of system losses, consistent with industry guidelines; and
 - has a satisfactory System Average Interruption Duration Index in the historic period from 2008 through 2012, reflecting a satisfactory level of reliability.

1	
2	c
2	<u>F</u>
4	
5	\$
6	
7	e
8	<u> </u>
9	
	r
11	r
12	
13	
14	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	
16	1
17	
10	1
19 20	1
21	1
22	
23	
24	- 11

30. Staff finds the projects constructed and included in PIS since SSVEC's last rate case to be used and useful.

Rate Base, Revenues, and Expenses

- 31. The Application requested rate base of \$196,598,401, adjusted test year revenues of \$108,713,261, and expenses of \$99,604,563.
- 32. SSVEC and Staff are in agreement on the proposed rate base, revenues, and expenses and recommends adoption.

Revenue Requirement and Rate of Return

- 33. SSVEC proposed a revenue requirement of \$113,599,468. The proposed revenue requirement would produce an operating income of \$6,747,430 for a 3.43 percent rate of return and yield net income of \$9,867,587.
- 34. SSVEC's proposed revenue would produce a 1.26 times interest earned ratio and a 1.70 debt service coverage ratio.
- 35. SSVEC and Staff recommend adoption of SSVEC's proposed revenue requirement.

 Consumer Services
- 36. Staff reviewed the Commission's records between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2013, and found 68 complaints during that period of time. All of those complaints have been resolved and closed. To date in 2014, Consumer Services has received one complaint regarding quality of service, which is currently open.
- 37. As noted above, Consumer Services received 12 customer opinions to the Application which was within the limits to proceed under Rule 107. The Corporations Division of the Commission finds the Cooperative in "Good Standing".

CONCLUSIONS

- 38. SSVEC's Application is in compliance with Rule 107 allowing SSVEC's rate case to be processed under the alternative streamlined process.
 - 39. SSVEC and Staff are not requesting a hearing in this matter.
 - 40. SSVEC's OCRB and FVRB is determined to be \$196,598,401.

28

27

25

26

- 41. SSVEC's proposed rate increases for each customer class are within the guidelines established in Rule 107.
- 42. During the thirty (30) days customers had in which to object to the rate increase, only 12 customers filed objections which is below the number required to cease processing under Rule 107.
- 43. Staff is in agreement with SSVEC's proposed rate base of \$196,598,401, adjusted test year revenues of \$108,713,261, and expenses of \$99,604,563.
- 44. The rates and charges approved herein will produce an operating income of \$6,747,430 for a 3.43 percent rate of return and yield net income of \$9,867,587.
- 45. The rates and charges approved herein will produce a 1.26 times interest earned ratio ("TIER") and a 1.70 debt service coverage ratio ("DSC").
- 46. The rates and charges approved herein will increase revenues by \$4,886,307, or a 4.49% increase in total revenue (an increase of 4.92% in total base revenue).
 - 47. The rate design proposed by SSVEC and agreed to by Staff should be adopted.
 - 48. The base cost of power should remain at \$0.072127 per kWh.
- 49. Under the rates approved herein, a residential customer with average monthly usage of 720 kWh will experience a rate increase of \$5.13 (5.35%) from the current amount of \$95.87 to \$101.00. The median residential customer with a monthly consumption of 602 kWh will experience a rate increase of \$4.61 (5.66%) from the current amount of \$81.51 to \$86.12.
 - 50. SSVEC and Staff are in agreement that a hearing is not requested in this case.
- 51. In Decision 71274, the Commission ordered that SSVEC file, in its next rate case, detailed and conventional unbundled rates that do not provide incentive or disincentive for customers who want to choose competitive generation. The Commission finds that the rates and charges proposed by SSVEC in the Application meet the conditions of the ordering paragraph on page 50 of Decision No. 71274.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative Inc. is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.

Decision No. 74381

Decision No. 74381

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. shall notify its customers of the revised schedules of rates and charges authorized herein by means of an 2 insert, in a form acceptable to Staff, included in its next regularly scheduled billing, and by posting on its website. 4 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.'s base 6 cost of power remains at \$0.072127 per kWh. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 7 8 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 9 10 COMMISSIONER **CHAIRMAN** 11 12 13 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER **COMMISSIONER** 14 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have 16 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of 17 Phoenix, this 19^{12} 18 19 JØDI/JERICH 20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 21 22 DISSENT: 23 DISSENT: 24 25 SMO:RSP:sms\WVC 26 27 28

Page 10 Docket No. E-01575A-13-0296 SERVICE LIST FOR: Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. 1 DOCKET NO. E-01575A-13-0296 2 Mr. Jeffrey W. Crockett, Esq. 3 Attorney for Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc. 4 Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP One East Washington Street 5 **Suite 2400** Phoenix, Arizona 85004 6 7 Ms. Lyn A. Farmer, Esq. Chief Administrative Law Judge 8 Hearing Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 10 11 Mr. Steven M. Olea Director, Utilities Division 12 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 13 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 14 Ms. Janice M. Alward 15 Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 16 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Docket No. E-01575A-13-0296 Test Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Approved Rates Power Dist Supply Wires			<u>Total</u>
RESIDENTIAL				
<u>Standard</u>				
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	10.25	\$ 10.25
All kWh	\$ 0.078634	\$	0.047404	\$ 0.126038
Time of Use				
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	16.50	\$ 16.50
On-Peak kWh	\$ 0.147334	\$	0.021703	\$ 0.169037
Off-Peak kWh	\$ 0.040634	\$	0.061093	\$ 0.101727
GENERAL SERVICE				
Non-Demand				
Base Charge 1 Phase	\$ -	\$	16.50	\$ 16.50
Base Charge 3 Phase	\$ -	\$	16.50	\$ 16.50
kWh	\$ 0.092559	\$	0.025779	\$ 0.118338
<u>Demand</u>				
Base Charge 1 Phase	\$ -	\$	16.50	\$ 16.50
Base Charge 3 Phase	\$ -	\$	16.50	\$ 16.50
First	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Over	\$ 6.50	\$	1.50	\$ 8.00
kWh	\$ 0.077059	\$	0.041279	\$ 0.118338
Demand Time of Use				
Base Charge 1 Phase	\$ -	\$	18.00	\$ 18.00
Base Charge 3 Phase	\$ -	\$	18.00	\$ 18.00
On-Peak kW	\$ 18.50	\$	-	\$ 18.50
kWh	\$ 0.037193	\$	0.053779	\$ 0.090972
IRRIGATION				
<u>Standard</u>				
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	30.00	\$ 30.00
kW (April-Oct)	\$ 6.00	\$	1.15	\$ 7.15
kWh (April-Oct)	\$ 0.050000	\$	0.038509	\$ 0.088509
kWh (Nov-March)				
First	\$ 0.075300	\$	0.038909	\$ 0.114209
Over	\$ 0.045300	\$	0.041909	\$ 0.087209
Load Factor				
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	35.00	\$ 35.00
kWh	\$ 0.074200	\$	0.019920	\$ 0.094120

Docket No. E-01575A-13-0296 Test Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Approved Rates				
	Power Dist		_		
	<u>Supply</u>		<u>Wires</u>	<u>Total</u>	
Daily Control					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	30.00	\$ 30.00	
Override penalty	\$ 19.00	\$	-	\$ 19.00	
First	\$ 0.063723	\$	0.047256	\$ 0.110979	
Over	\$ 0.033723	\$	0.049956	\$ 0.083679	
Discount kWh	\$ 0.052890	\$	0.039222	\$ 0.092113	
Weekly Control					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	30.00	\$ 30.00	
Override penalty	\$ 19.00	\$	-	\$ 19.00	
First	\$ 0.063723	\$	0.047256	\$ 0.110979	
Over	\$ 0.033723	\$	0.049956	\$ 0.083679	
Discount kWh	\$ 0.060537	\$	0.044893	\$ 0.105430	
Daily Control/Large					
(12 months sum)	\$ -	\$	30.00	\$ 30.00	
kW	\$ 19.00	\$	-	\$ 19.00	
kWh	\$ 0.060297	\$	0.027440	\$ 0.087737	
2 X Weekly Control					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	30.00	\$ 30.00	
Override penalty	\$ 19.00	\$	-	\$ 19.00	
First	\$ 0.063723	\$	0.047256	\$ 0.110979	
Over	\$ 0.033723	\$	0.049956	\$ 0.083679	
Discount kWh	\$ 0.057351	\$	0.042530	\$ 0.099881	
LARGE POWER					
Standard					
Base Charge		\$	55.00	\$ 55.00	
kW	\$ 6.80	\$	0.25	\$ 7.05	
kWh	\$ 0.043515	\$	0.036329	\$ 0.079844	
LARGE POWER - SEASONAL					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	67.00	\$ 67.00	
kW	\$ 8.40	\$	1.15	\$ 9.55	
kWh	\$ 0.043515	\$	0.036329	\$ 0.079844	
LARGE POWER - INDUSTRIAL					
Base Charge		\$	233.50	\$ 233.50	
Billing kW	\$ 0.50	\$	5.95	\$ 6.45	
First	\$ 0.066156	\$	0.013674	\$ 0.079830	
Next	\$ 0.030156	\$	0.020574	\$ 0.050730	

Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Docket No. E-01575A-13-0296 Test Year Ended December 31, 2012

	Approved Rates				
	<u>Power</u>		<u>Dist</u>		Takal
LARGE POWER TIME OF LICE	<u>Supply</u>		<u>Wires</u>		<u>Total</u>
LARGE POWER - TIME OF USE		,	FF 00	,	FF 00
Base Charge	ć 47.4F	\$	55.00	\$	55.00
On-Peak kW	\$ 17.15	\$	-	\$	17.15
Off-Peak kW	\$ -	\$	4.15	\$	4.15
kWh	\$ 0.045000	\$	0.010515	>	0.055515
CONTRACT 4					
CONTRACT 1	\$ -	\$	25.00	\$	25.00
Base Charge	\$ 1.50	\$	1.00	۶ \$	25.00
Billing Demand 90%	\$ 1.50	۶ \$		۶ \$	
Billing Demand 75%		\$	1.00		3.50
Billing Demand 55%	•		1.00	\$	4.50
On-Peak kWh	\$ 0.066357	\$	0.005000	•	0.071357
Off-Peak kWh	\$ 0.043157	\$	0.005000	\$	0.048157
CONTRACT 2					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	9,000.00	\$	9,000.00
Billing kW	\$ 5.50	\$	3.50	\$	9.00
First	\$ 0.057562	\$	0.010345	\$	0.067907
Over	\$ 0.047562	\$	0.000345	\$	0.047907
CONTRACT 3					
Base Charge	\$ -	\$	500.00	\$	500.00
Billing kW	\$ -	\$	3.35	\$	3.35
First	\$ 0.070546	\$	0.005754		0.076300
Over	\$ 0.035546	\$	0.005754	\$	0.041300
	·			-	
RV PARKS					
Base Charge		\$	50.00	\$	50.00
Billing kW	\$ 6.70	\$	-	\$	6.70
kWh	\$ 0.048591	\$	0.032511	\$	0.081102
STREET LIGHTS					
Cooperative Investment					
70 Watt HPS	\$ 1.32	\$	11.58	\$	12.90
100 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$ 1.90	\$	9.83	\$	11.73
100 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$ 1.90	\$	14.84	\$	16.74
100 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$ 3.80	\$	17.88	\$	21.68
100 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$ 3.80	\$	20.30	\$	24.10
175 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$ 3.32	\$	10.24	\$	13.56
175 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$ 3.32	\$	13.12	\$	16.44
175 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$ 6.65	\$	17.85	\$	24.50
175 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$ 6.65	\$	20.21	\$	26.86
150 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$ 2.85	\$	12.55	\$	15.40
150 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$ 2.85	\$	15.69	\$	18.54
150 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$ 5.70	\$	23.26	\$	28.96
150 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$ 5.70	\$	25.88	\$	31.58

	Approved Rates					
	_	<u>ower</u>		<u>Dist</u>		
		<u>upply</u>		<u>Wires</u>		<u>Total</u>
250 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$	4.75	\$	12.74	\$	17.49
250 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$	4.75	\$	15.95	\$	20.70
250 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$	9.50	\$	22.99	\$	32.49
250 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$	9.50	\$	25.61	\$	35.11
250 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$	4.75	\$	16.80	\$	21.55
250 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$	4.75	\$	19.68	\$	24.43
250 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$	9.50	\$	31.63	\$	41.13
250 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$	9.50	\$	33.73	\$	43.23
400 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$	7.60	\$	16.70	\$	24.30
400 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$	7.60	\$	19.59	\$	27.19
400 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$	15.19	\$	30.98	\$	46.17
400 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$	15.19	\$	33.15	\$	48.34
<u>Customer Investment</u>						
100 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$	1.18	\$	7.86	\$	9.04
100 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$	1.70	\$	8.98	\$	10.68
100 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$	1.70	\$	15.65	\$	17.35
100 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$	3.40	\$	15.00	\$	18.40
175 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$	3.40	\$	7.34	\$	10.74
175 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$	2.98	\$	9.27	\$	12.25
175 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$	2.98	\$	17.07	\$	20.05
175 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$	5.95	\$	15.15	\$	21.10
150 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$	5.95	\$	6.16	\$	12.11
150 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$	2.55	\$	11.21	\$	13.76
150 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$	2.55	\$	20.57	\$	23.12
150 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$	5.10	\$	19.27	\$	24.37
250 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$	5.10	\$	8.99	\$	14.09
250 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$	4.25	\$	11.53	\$	15.78
250 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$	4.25	\$	21.56	\$	25.81
250 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$	8.51	\$	19.32	\$	27.83
250 Watt HPS - Single/Wood Pole	\$	8.51	\$	9.18	\$	17.69
250 Watt HPS - Single/Steel Pole	\$	4.25	\$	14.88	\$	19.13
250 Watt HPS - Double/Wood Pole	\$	4.25	\$	30.13	\$	34.38
250 Watt HPS - Double/Steele Pole	\$	8.51	\$	26.47	\$	34.98
400 Watt MV - Single/Wood Pole	\$	8.51	\$	11.86	\$	20.37
400 Watt MV - Single/Steel Pole	\$	6.80	\$	15.01	\$	21.81
400 Watt MV - Double/Wood Pole	\$	6.80	\$	32.76	\$	39.56
400 Watt MV - Double/Steele Pole	\$	13.61	\$	26.28	\$	39.89
SECURITY LIGHTS						
175 Watt MV	\$	2.84	\$	9.13	\$	11.97
100 Watt HPS		2.84	\$	8.63	\$	11.47
35 Watt LPS	\$ \$	2.84	\$	6.42	\$	9.26
Poles	\$	-	\$	2.05	\$	2.05
UNMETERED						
Base Charge	\$	_	\$	16.50	\$	16.50
kWh		.086859	\$	0.005000		0.091859